

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/823,105	Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner SOPHIE HON	Art Unit 1794	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed.

(1) Sophie Hon.

(3) _____.

(2) Adam Litterken acting on behalf of Brian Hameder.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 March 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art.

Claims discussed:

8,10

Prior art documents discussed:

Japan Journal of Applied Physics vol. 41 pp1315-1317.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Cancellation of claim 10 and examiner's amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

